

# Document made available under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT)

International application number: PCT/GB2005/000287

International filing date: 27 January 2005 (27.01.2005)

Document type: Certified copy of priority document

Document details: Country/Office: GB  
Number: 0401829.7  
Filing date: 28 January 2004 (28.01.2004)

Date of receipt at the International Bureau: 18 January 2007 (18.01.2007)

Remark: Priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b)



World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) - Geneva, Switzerland  
Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OMPI) - Genève, Suisse



For Innovation

PCT/GB 2005 / 0 0 0 2 8 7.

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

*William Morell*

Dated 8 January 2007

**Request for grant of a patent**

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)



The Patent Office

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP9 1RH

1. Your reference TGC/P36384GB

28 JAN 2004

2. Patent application number  
(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0401829.7

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

Statoil ASA  
N-4035 Stavanger  
Norway

971 99777 17-057  
29 JAN 04 E868992-1 D00056  
P01/7700 0.00-0401829.7 NONE

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

82044 30001

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

4. Title of the invention

Fischer-Tropsch Catalysts

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

Kilburn & Strode  
20 Red Lion Street  
London  
WC1R 4PJ

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

125001 ✓

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number  
(if you know it)

Date of filing  
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing  
(day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
  - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
  - c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
- See note (d))

YES

## Patents Form 1/77

Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.  
Do not count copies of the same document

### Continuation sheets of this form

Description 17

Claim(s) 10

Abstract 1

Drawing(s)

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

### Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents (please specify)

11.

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature *T.G. Copsey*

Date 28 January 04

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

Mr. T.G. Copsey  
Tel: 020 7539 4200

### Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

### Notes

- a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- c) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- d) If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- f) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

## Fischer-Tropsch Catalysts

The present invention relates to supported catalysts and their use in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis reactions, and more specifically to processes for the  
5 production of the catalyst and support, and to the catalyst and support themselves.

Conversion of natural gas to liquid hydrocarbons ("Gas To Liquids" or "GTL" process) is based on a 3 step procedure consisting of: 1) synthesis gas  
10 production; 2) synthesis gas conversion by FT synthesis; and 3) upgrading of FT products (wax and naphtha/distillates) to final products.

The Fischer-Tropsch reaction for conversion of synthesis gas, a mixture of CO and hydrogen, possibly also containing essentially inert components like CO<sub>2</sub>,  
15 nitrogen and methane, is commercially operated over catalysts containing the active metals Fe or Co. Iron catalysts are best suited for synthesis gas with low H<sub>2</sub>/CO ratios ( $< 1.2$ ), e.g. from coal or other heavy hydrocarbon feedstock, where this ratio is considerably lower than the consumption ratio of the FT-reaction (2.0 – 2.1). The present invention is concerned with Co-based  
20 catalysts, in particular, supported Co-based catalysts. A variety of products can be made by the FT-reaction, but from supported cobalt, the primary product is long-chain hydrocarbons that can be further upgraded to products like diesel fuel and petrochemical naphtha. Byproducts can include olefins and oxygenates.

25

To achieve sufficient catalytic activity, it is customary to disperse the Co on a catalyst carrier, often referred to as the support material. In this way, a larger portion of Co is exposed as surface atoms where the reaction can take place. The present invention is concerned with alumina, as a support material.

Supported cobalt catalysts are the preferred catalysts for the FT synthesis. The most important properties of a cobalt FT catalyst are the activity, the selectivity usually to C<sub>5+</sub> and heavier products and the resistance towards deactivation.

5 Known catalysts are typically based on titania, silica or alumina supports and various metals and metal oxides have been shown to be useful as promoters.

In a paper by Iglesia et al. ["Selectivity Control and Catalyst Design in the Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis: Sites, Pellets and Reactors" Advances in Catalysis, Vol 3, 1993] a Thiele modulus is defined as a product of two components,  $\Psi_n$  and  $\chi$ , where  $\Psi_n$  depends only on the diffusivity and reactivity of the individual molecules, whereas  $\chi$  depends only on the physical properties and site density of the catalyst. They have described a model whereby the selectivity to C<sub>5+</sub> products can be described as a volcano plot in terms of  $\chi$ . The structural parameter is given as:

$$\chi = R_o^2 \Phi \theta_m / r_p ,$$

where  $\theta_m$  is the site density, e.g. as the number of surface atoms of Co metal atoms per cm<sup>2</sup> of pore area in the catalyst particle,  $R_o$  is the diffusion length, i.e. the radius of an essentially spherical catalyst particle,  $\Phi$  is the porosity of the particle (cm<sup>3</sup> pore volume/cm<sup>3</sup> particle volume) and  $r_p$  is the mean pore radius.

25 This expression suggests that  $\chi$  only depends on universal constant, characteristic data for cobalt in the catalyst as well as the size and density of the catalyst particles. It is particularly significant that  $\chi$  does not depend on the pore radius,  $r_p$ . However, it now appears that the selectivity of the Fischer-Tropsch reaction to C<sub>5+</sub> products indeed does in fact depend on the pore size.

In a paper by Saib et al. ["Silica supported cobalt Fischer-Tropsch catalysts: effect of pore diameter of support" *Catalysis Today* 71 (2002) 395-402], the influence of the effect of the average pore diameter of a silica support on the properties of a cobalt catalyst and their performance in F-T synthesis is discussed. The article concludes that the support pore diameter has a strong effect on cobalt crystallite size with larger crystallites forming in larger pore sizes. Also, the activity was found to be a function of the metal dispersion and the maximum C<sub>5+</sub> selectivity a function of the conversion.

In EP 1 129 776 A1 it is argued that internal diffusion phenomena in a catalyst particle depend on the chemical and morphological structure of the catalyst (pore dimensions, surface area, density of the active sites) and on the molecular dimensions of the species in question. This is a general teaching found in relevant textbooks, e.g. expressed in terms of the Thiele modulus, and it is significant that the pore dimension, i.e. the pore radius or diameter is one of the critical parameters. Further, it is taught that for the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis, interparticle diffusion will create low concentrations of CO towards the centre of the particle with a consequent progressive rise in the H<sub>2</sub>/CO ratio inside the catalyst and that this condition favours the formation of light hydrocarbons (lower  $\alpha$ -value and C<sub>5+</sub> fraction). On the other hand, it is stated that multiphase reactors of the slurry type generally use small catalyst particles (20 - 150  $\mu$ m) which do not give internal diffusion problems, and more specifically that for catalysts based on differently supported cobalt used in the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis, it is possible to neglect internal diffusion limitations by operation with particles having diameter of less than 200  $\mu$ m.

In EP 0 736 326 B1, it is shown that the C<sub>5+</sub> selectivity can increase over a certain range of increasing pore size for a cobalt on alumina type FT catalyst.

However, no reference or details of the method of measuring pore size is given, and it is well known that reported values vary significantly with method, e.g. for different probe gases or whether adsorption or desorption isotherms are employed.

5

In general, after impregnation of an alumina carrier with a solution of a cobalt catalyst material, the carrier is dried and calcined at a relatively low temperature of 200 to 450°C, eg. at 300°C, for 2 to 16 hours. However, it is known that prolonged calcination at higher temperatures, eg. above 500°C can reduce catalyst activity.

10

This is in the first instance due to agglomeration of Co crystallites giving a reduced Co surface area for the FT-reaction, but at higher temperatures Co reacts with the alumina itself to form an inactive spinel phase, cobalt aluminate  $\text{CoAl}_2\text{O}_4$ . Transformation to cobalt aluminate was demonstrated by Davis and co-workers (Applied Catalysis, Volume 247, Pages 335-343, 2003) to occur at 650°C and to transform completely at 850°C. The present invention relates to the surprising beneficial effect of high temperature treatment of an impregnated catalyst carrier on its attrition level.

15

20

According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of producing an alumina-supported catalyst, which comprises the following steps: a first impregnation step in which an initial alumina support material is impregnated with a source of a 2-valent metal capable of forming a spinel compound with alumina; a first calcination step in which the impregnated alumina support material is calcined at a temperature of at least 550°C to produce a modified alumina support material; a second impregnation step in which the modified alumina support material is impregnated with a source of catalytically active metal; and a second calcination step in which the

25



impregnated modified support material is calcined at a temperature of at least 150°C.

5 According to another aspect of the invention, there is provided a method for the production of an alumina support for a catalyst, which comprises impregnating an initial alumina support material with a source of a 2-valent metal capable of forming a spinel compound with alumina, and calcining the impregnated alumina at a temperature of at least 550°C.

10 The invention also extends to catalyst material made in accordance with the first aspect and a support material made in accordance with the second aspect.

The invention also extends to the use of the support material and the use of the catalyst in an F-T synthesis.

15

The F-T synthesis may be conducted in a fixed bed reactor or a non-fixed bed reactor, such as a slurry bubble column.

20 The invention also extends to a process for the production of hydrocarbons which comprise subjecting H<sub>2</sub> and CO gases to a Fischer-Tropsch synthesis reaction in a reactor in the presence of a catalyst of the invention. The process can be conducted under typical F-T operating conditions. The products can be subjected to various post-processing operations, such as de-waxing, hydro-isomerisation and/or hydro-cracking.

25

Amorphous catalyst support materials typically have specific surface areas between 50 and 500 m<sup>2</sup>/g, more typically between 100 and 300 m<sup>2</sup>/g. The starting alumina materials used in the present invention are all, at least predominantly, of the  $\gamma$ -alumina type, preferably with specific surface areas

between 150 and 200 m<sup>2</sup>/g. These supports can be prepared by spray-drying techniques of an appropriate solution in order to obtain essentially spherical particles of appropriate size, e.g. 80 % in the range between 30 – 120 µm. After spray-drying, the material is calcined at a high temperature to give the appropriate crystal size and pore structure.

It is also important that the total pore volume is sufficiently high, above 0.2 cm<sup>3</sup>/g or better, above 0.4 cm<sup>3</sup>/g, or even above 0.6 cm<sup>3</sup>/g. The pore volume is often measured by the BET method applying nitrogen as the adsorption gas. This method does not take into account large pores where a mercury porosimeter is more relevant. A less accurate, but more practical parameter is the measured water absorbtivity, which can be directly correlated with the amount of cobalt that can be impregnated on the catalyst by the incipient wetness procedure. A high pore volume will give a light material suitable for operation in a slurry environment and ease the impregnation by minimising the number of impregnation steps required. At the same time the support, and the final catalyst, should have sufficient strength for extended operation of months and years with minimal attrition of the materials. This can be tested in a slurry environment or by the ASTM method applicable for testing FCC (fluid catalytic cracking) catalysts.

The properties of γ-alumina catalyst support materials have been investigated, both as received from the alumina vendor (Sasol GmbH of Hamburg, Germany), and following heat treatment. The properties are set out in Table 1.

Table 1. Properties of alumina as received and heat treated.

Alumina batch no.	Calcination temperature [°C]	Surface area [m <sup>2</sup> /g]	Pore volume(N <sub>2</sub> ) [ml/g]	Pore diameter [nm]	Attrition [g/50g at 5h]	Water absorbitivity [ml/g]**	α-alumina [%]
Al-1	500*	191	0,721	11,4	4,9	1,23	0
"	1100	55	0,390	22,0	7,0	0,85	17
"	1130	15	0,084	20,4	15,2	0,69	85
"	1150	7	0,019	11,4	39,0	0,60	
Al-2	500*	170	0,734	12,9	4,8	1,30	0
"	900	107	0,684	19,1	4,9	1,15	
"	1130	18	0,108	22,2		0,75	87
"	1140	10	0,049	18,3	26,3	0,71	97
"	1140	7	0,026	14,8	26,0	0,74	100

\* Equivalent to "as received" material. The average particle size is respectively 62 µm and 59 µm.

5

\*\* The water absorbitivity is determined as the "incipient wetness" point by successively adding water to the point where water is visually released from the pores of the alumina by gentle tapping of the beaker containing the material.

10 The as received γ-alumina materials from the alumina vendor have been treated in one or both of two ways, namely, further heat treatment/calcinations at temperatures in the range 500 – 1200 °C; and impregnation by one or a mixture of substances followed by heat treatment in the 700 – 1300°C temperature range. The properties of the resulting materials have been

15 investigated.

Upon high temperature treatment, the  $\gamma$ -aluminas or the different alumina hydrates will be converted to transition phase aluminas, denoted  $\delta$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\chi$  or  $\kappa$  - aluminas, that all finally will be converted to  $\alpha$ -alumina, with a gradual decrease in specific surface areas. These aluminas may also be suitable as support materials for cobalt for the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis, even though this may have specific surface areas in the range 10 – 50 m<sup>2</sup>/g. The specific surface areas and pore volumes must be balanced towards the requirements for sufficiently high cobalt metal loading and dispersion. However, it is also possible to increase the high temperature surface stability of aluminas by adding certain stabilising agents like lanthanum (lanthanum oxide). In this way, the  $\gamma$ -phase can be retained, even above 1000 °C. Other stabilising agents have been used, such as magnesia and ceria.

Three other properties are important for the support, and for the final catalyst, in particular when used in a slurry reactor environment such as a slurry bubble column. These are the particle density, the particle size, and the abrasion or attrition resistance. The density is important in order to achieve a suitable distribution (dispersion) of the catalyst particles in the reactor. A light material is particularly advantageous for avoiding catalyst settling or an excessive concentration of particles in the lower part of the reactor. The particle size also affects settling and the catalyst concentration profile, but should not be excessively small, since this makes separation of the liquid product from the reactor slurry more difficult and increases the incidence of particles being transported with the gas phase at the top of the reactor. Attrition should be minimised to prolong the life of the catalyst and to avoid contamination of the liquid hydrocarbon product.

Examples of these parameters for selected  $\gamma$ -alumina supports and their heat-treated analogues are given in Table 1. As can be seen, there is a clear

tendency for the attrition resistance to diminish significantly as the calcination temperature exceeds 1100°C simultaneously with an increase of the  $\alpha$ -alumina content. It is stated in WO 02/47816 that catalysts with low surface areas and/or high  $\alpha$ -alumina contents lead to a significant increase in the selectivity to the desired C5+ products in the FT-synthesis. As seen, however, the pore volume is reduced and attrition can reach an unacceptable level.

As mentioned above, an important method for characterisation of the present catalysts and support materials is related to the determination of the pore characteristics; pore volume, pore size distribution, surface area and average pore size. Various techniques may be applied, but most are variations of the so-called BET method using the adsorption or desorption isotherms of a gas that is adsorbed to the surface of the pores, typically nitrogen, but also certain noble gases or mercury are used for specialised purposes. In the present invention, the nitrogen desorption isotherm is used, measured by standard procedures on an ASAP 2000 instrument from Micromeritics and the standard included software for calculation of the pore characteristics.

The values reported in the Tables in this specification are the BET surface area, the BJH desorption cumulative pore volume between 17 and 3000 Å diameter and the BJH desorption average pore diameter (4V/A). Specific values will vary depending on the method, but the general findings are expected to be valid independent of the method employed, and characterisation data compared therefore should be harmonised to a given method.

The modified ASTM type equipment for testing attrition consists of two main parts, one air feeding system and one reactor where the attrition takes place. Compressed air passes through a pressure regulator (5 bar) to a moisture chamber where the air is moistened to approximately 30 % relative moisture.

This is done to avoid static electricity in the system. The amount of air is then adjusted in a mass flow controller. The humid air then enters the reactor through a sieve tray where the holes have a diameter of 0.4 mm. Because of these holes, the gas reaches sonic velocity, which causes the "wear and tear" on the particles in the reactor. The reactor has an internal diameter of 35.6 mm (1.4 inches) and a length of 711 mm (28 inches) and the pressure is approximately 1.8 bar. After passing through the reactor, the velocity is lowered in a separation chamber which has an internal diameter of 112 mm (4.4 inches) and a length of 305 mm (12 inches). There is a conical connection 203 mm long (8 inches) between the reactor and the separation chamber.

Particles  $> 40\ \mu\text{m}$  will fall back down into the reactor, while smaller particles  $< 40\ \mu\text{m}$  (fines) will enter a Soxhlet-filter through a u-formed tubing, connected to the separation chamber via a conical connection 106 mm long (4 inches). A vibrator is mounted on the separation chamber, to loosen any particles on the inside walls.

50 g of powder or catalyst, sieved to  $> 40\ \mu\text{m}$  before testing, is loaded to the reactor, and the reactor is connected to the separation chamber. The air is turned on, and the fines produced in the reactor and collected in the Soxhlet filter are weighed every 15 minutes during the first 2 hours, and every 30 minutes during the next 3 hours. A normal run lasts 5 hours and the amount of fines produced can be plotted against time.

#### Support modification and catalyst preparation

Table 2. Properties of alumina modified by metal salt impregnation and high-temperature treatment. Al-2 is the starting material.

Impregnated metal and level [wt%]*	Calcination temperature [°C]	Surface area [m <sup>2</sup> /g]	Pore volume(N <sub>2</sub> ) [ml/g]	Pore diameter [nm]	Attrition [g/50g at 5h]**	α-alumina [%]***	Water absorbitivity (ml/g)
5 Co	900	107	0,618	17,5	4,3		1,00
"	1050	44	0,302	22,8	4,6		0,74
	1100	12	0,070	23,0	12		0,61
"	1140	9	0,052	22,2	20		
10 Co	900	100	0,606	18,1	4,5		1,00
"	1050	47	0,338	24,3	4,8		0,70
"	1100	14	0,150	39,9	5,5		0,54
"	1140	10	0,091	33,0	6,9		0,50
20 Co	300	144	0,485	11,3	6,8		0,92
"	900	76	0,472	19,5	7,0	0	0,90
"	1140	10	0,090	33,2	3,4	12	0,47
10 Al	900	90	0,417	13,8	8,8		1,12
"	1050	55	0,340	18,2	5,0		0,80
"	1140	6	0,024	15,2	28,0		0,78
10 Zn	1120				10,5		0,57
"	1140	12	0,132	37,9	6,9	63	0,56
5 Mg	1140				3,0		0,56
10 Mg	1140	29	0,179	19,4	0,5	21	0,51

\* Nominal values assuming complete reduction to metal. Note that the samples here all are in oxide form.

5 \*\* Bold face signifies lower attrition than the alumina carrier calcined at the same temperature.

\*\*\*Simplified estimation from peak heights of most intense peak for each compound in standard Cu-XRD. The other XRD peaks for the samples calcined at 1140°C correspond to the MeAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinel.

From Table 2 it can be seen that a standard catalyst in calcined form, (the 20Co/300 °C sample), has an attrition level higher than the support material, that is to say, 6.8 g compared to 4.8 g. In other words, a significant reduction in strength is observed by impregnation of cobalt nitrate and standard calcination. What has now been astonishingly found is that the strength increases significantly by further calcination to 1140 °C (3.4 g), an attrition level which is actually lower than for the starting alumina material. The comparison is even more compelling with the alumina support calcined at the same temperature (1140°C), as most of the strength then is lost (26.3 g) as shown in the graph of Figure 1. The positive effect on the catalyst strength increases with calcination temperature and Co loading.

For comparison, impregnation of aluminium nitrate was attempted, but it can be seen that little effect on the attrition was gained as in fact the attrition level of 28.0 g for the impregnated sample is slightly above the 26.3 g obtained for the support, both calcined at 1140 °C. On the other hand, Zn has a positive effect on attrition, very much in line with Co. It therefore seems as if impregnation with a metal salt and high temperature calcination enhances the strength of alumina if the added metal is able to form a well defined crystalline mixed oxide with aluminium, in this case a spinel with composition  $\text{MeAl}_2\text{O}_4$ , Me being a 2-valent metal. An even stronger effect is found using an Mg salt, as an extremely strong material is formed (Figure 1). The attrition level after 5 h in a jet cup of 0.5 % is below anything seen for a catalyst or catalyst support. The origin of the effect can only be speculative, but it would seem that the magnesium ion is smaller than  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  or  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and therefore may exhibit higher solid-state diffusion rates at the given temperature. This points to a possible positive effect of modifying alumina with other metal salts/oxides containing small metal ions, like lithium.



The effect of the various metals on pore size are shown in Figure 2.

Unless otherwise stated, all the catalysts used contain a nominal amount of  
5 cobalt of 20 wt% and 0,5 wt% Re, calculated assuming reduced catalysts with  
complete reduction of cobalt. The actual metal loading as determined by XRF  
or ICP may vary up to  $\pm 10\%$ , i.e. for cobalt between 18 and 22 w% of the  
total reduced catalyst weight. Other promoters besides rhenium, specifically,  
10 platinum, iridium or ruthenium, can be employed. It is also possible to add a  
second promoter such as lanthanum oxide or a mixture of oxides of the  
lanthanides or other compounds which are difficult to reduce.

Before impregnation, the catalyst support may be precalcined at about 500 °C.  
Impregnation is usually in one step, but multiple steps can also be employed,  
15 from a mixed aqueous solution of appropriate metal salts, generally of cobalt  
nitrate and perrhenic acid or alternatively ammonium perrhenate. The  
impregnation technique generally used is the pore filling or "incipient wetness"  
method, in which the solution is mixed with the dry support until the pores are  
filled. The definition of the end point of this method may vary somewhat from  
20 laboratory to laboratory so that an impregnated catalyst could have a  
completely dry appearance or a sticky snow-like appearance. However, in no  
instances are there any free flowing liquid present.

A number of alternative impregnation procedures are known in the art which  
25 use alternative solvents and chemicals, however, in the present invention, the  
preferred procedure involves aqueous incipient wetness with solutions of cobalt  
nitrate ( $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) and perrhenic acid ( $\text{HReO}_4$ ). Possible alternatives  
include using cobalt acetate(s), cobalt halide(s), cobalt carbonyl(s), cobalt  
oxalate(s), cobalt phosphate(s), organic cobalt compounds, ammonium

- perrhenate, rhenium halide(s), rhenium carbonyl(s), industrial metal salt solutions, organic solvents, etc. Furthermore, the impregnation technique may encompass all available methods besides incipient wetness, such as precipitation, impregnation from slurry with surplus liquid, chemical vapour deposition etc. It is well known that the impregnation method may influence the dispersion of the active metal (cobalt) and hence the catalytic activity, but as the Fischer-Tropsch reaction is believed to be non-structure sensitive, dispersion should not influence the selectivity
- 10 The impregnated catalyst is dried, typically at 80-120 °C, to remove water from the catalyst pores, and then calcined at typically 200-450°C, e.g. at 300°C for 2-16h.

#### Catalyst testing

- 15 One critical step before testing is the activation of the catalyst that involves reduction of cobalt oxide(s) to cobalt metal. This reduction can be performed by flowing a suitable reductive gas over the catalyst particles. Particularly suitable are hydrogen or carbon monoxide or mixtures thereof. The reductive gas can be mixed with inerts like nitrogen, noble gases or steam and suitable temperatures and pressures should be applied. If a fluidised bed reactor is used for activation, it may be convenient to use a recycle of (part of) the reductive gas and a slight atmospheric total overpressure in order to achieve a suitable gas flow. It is also possible to use elevated total pressures, eg. up to 8 bar or higher, or even the Fischer-Tropsch reactor pressure. Selection of the reduction temperature strongly depends on the actual catalyst formulation, in particular on the presence and nature of promoters. It is well known that Re is highly efficient as a promoter in achieving high reducibilities at a conveniently reduced temperature.

Some fixed-bed testing of activated catalysts has been performed in a laboratory unit with four parallel fixed-bed reactors. About 1 g of catalyst particles in a controlled size fraction was mixed with 5 times the volume of inert SiC. Reduction was performed in situ with hydrogen before an

5 approximate 2:1 mixture of hydrogen and CO was carefully added. After 20 h on stream at 210°C and 20 bar total pressure, the space velocity was adjusted to give an estimated conversion level of CO after 90 h of between 45 and 50 %. It is of utmost importance to perform selectivity comparisons, as well as activity

10 comparisons, at the same conversion level, since the level of steam generated in the reaction has a profound influence on catalyst performance.

Table 3. Catalyst performance in the Fischer-Tropsch reaction.

Modified alumina support Al-2 [metal impr. wt% /calc. Temp. °C]	Catalyst impregnation. Composition [wt%/wt%]	Relative activity	Relative C <sub>5+</sub> selectivity	Attrition resistance of support*
---/500	20Co/0,5Re	1,54	0,933	Good
---/900	20Co/0,5Re	1,46	0,930	Good
---/1130	20Co/1Re	1,02	0,991	Low
---/1140	20Co/0,5Re	0,75	0,988	Very low
5Co/900	20Co/0,5Re	1,68	0,938	Good
5Co/900	20Co	1,27	0,914	Good
5Co/1050	20Co/0,5Re	1,56	0,950	Good
5Co/1050	20Co	1,10	0,945	Good
10Co/900	20Co/0,5Re	1,50	0,941	Good
10Co/900	20Co	1,14	0,927	Good
10Co/1050	20Co/0,5Re	1,37	0,961	Good

10Co/1050	20Co	0,82	0,956	Good
20Co/900	20Co/0,5Re	1,73	0,952	Acceptable
---/500	12Co/0,5Re	0,92	0,931	Good
---/1130	12Co/1Re	1,05	0,987	Low
---/1140	12Co/1Re	0,66	1,007	Very low
5Co/1100	12Co/0,5Re	0,91	0,985	Low
10Co/1100	12Co/0,5Re	0,83	0,989	Good
10Co/1140	12Co/0,5Re	0,69	0,990	Acceptable
10Co/1140	12Co	0,54	0,977	Acceptable
20Co/1140	12Co/0,5Re	0,44	0,986	Very good
10Al/1050	20Co/0,5Re	1,37	0,953	Good
10Al/1050	20Co	0,58	0,946	Good
10Zn/1140	12Co/0,5Re	0,86	0,987	Acceptable
5 Mg/1140	12Co/0,5Re			Very good
10Mg/1140	12Co/0,5Re	0,38	0,952	Extremely good

In Table 3 the first column relates to the first impregnation and calcination, showing the impregnated metal (with "---" indicating no impregnation) and the temperature of calcination. The second column relates to the second impregnation (with cobalt) and calcination, showing the cobalt and rhenium contents. Calcination was carried out at about 300°C.

From Table 3 it is clear that heat treatment of the Al-2 support at 900 °C or above, and particularly above 1100 °C, increases the selectivity of the catalyst, as also described in the present Applicants' WO 02/47816. It would seem that the same effect is seen for all modified aluminas that have been impregnated

with a metal solution and then high temperature treated before standard  
impregnation and calcination. It should also be noted that the addition of  
Rhenium gives improved activity and selectivity for all catalysts in accordance  
with previous reports. Thus the present invention means that it now is possible  
5 to combine high selectivity with high strength of the catalyst particles.

Claims

1. A method of producing an alumina-supported catalyst, which comprises the following steps: a first impregnation step in which an initial alumina support material is impregnated with a source of a 2-valent metal capable of forming a spinel compound with alumina;
  - a. first calcination step in which the impregnated alumina support material is calcined at a temperature of at least 550°C to produce a modified alumina support material;
  - a second impregnation step in which the modified alumina support material is impregnated with a source of catalytically active metal;
  - and a second calcination step in which the impregnated modified support material is calcined at a temperature of at least 150°C.
2. A method as claimed in Claim 1, in which the initial alumina support material at least predominantly comprises  $\gamma$ -alumina.
3. A method as claimed in Claim 1 or Claim 2, in which the impregnated modified support material is calcined at a temperature of up to 600°C.
4. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the initial alumina support material has a specific surface area in the range 100 to 300 m<sup>2</sup>/g.
5. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the initial alumina support material comprises essentially spherical particles having a particle size range whereby at least 80 volume % fall in the range 25 to 150  $\mu$ m.

6. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the initial alumina support material has a pore volume greater than  $0.2\text{cm}^3/\text{g}$ , preferably greater than  $0.4\text{cm}^3/\text{g}$ .
- 5 7. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the first calcination step is carried out at a temperature in the range 800 to  $1200^\circ\text{C}$ .
8. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the source of a 2-valent metal comprises a source of cobalt, zinc, magnesium, manganese,  
10 nickel or iron.
9. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, which includes additionally impregnating or co-impregnating the alumina support material with a promoter.  
15
10. A method as claimed in Claim 9, in which the promoter comprises platinum or rhenium.
11. A method as claimed in Claim 10, in which the promoter is rhenium and  
20 the source of rhenium is selected from perrhenic acid ( $\text{HReO}_4$ ), ammonium perrhenate, rhenium halide(s) and rhenium carbonyl(s).
12. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, which includes additionally incorporating a stabiliser into the alumina support material with a  
25 stabiliser.
13. A method as claimed in Claim 12, in which the stabiliser comprises lanthanum.

14. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the first impregnation step comprises an incipient wetness treatment in which an aqueous solution of the 2-valent metal compound is mixed with the dry support material until the pores are filled, and the impregnated support is then dried,  
5 prior to the first calcining step.

15. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which the source of catalytically active metal comprises a source of cobalt.

10 16. A method as claimed in Claim 15, in which the source of cobalt is selected from cobalt nitrate ( $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ ), cobalt acetate(s), cobalt halide(s), cobalt carbonyl(s), cobalt oxalate(s), cobalt phosphate(s), cobalt carbonate(s), cobalt (hexa)amine salt(s) and organic cobalt compounds.

15 17. A method as claimed in Claim 15 or Claim 16, in which the second impregnation step comprises an incipient wetness treatment in which an aqueous solution of a cobalt compound and optionally a rhenium compound is mixed with the modified support material until the pores are filled and the impregnated modified support material is then dried, prior to the second  
20 calcination step.

18. A method as claimed in Claim 14 or Claim 16 or Claim 17, in which the amount of aqueous solution used in the impregnation is 0.05-2 times larger than the measured pore volume of the catalyst support.

25

19. A method as claimed in Claim 14 or any of Claims 16 to 18, in which drying is carried out at 80 to 120°C.

20. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which, after the second



calcination step, the alumina-supported catalyst material is activated.

21. A method as claimed in Claim 20, in which the activation step comprises reduction of a substantial portion of the catalytically active metal compound present to the metal.

22. A method as claimed in Claim 21, in which the reduction is carried out by treating the catalyst material with a reducing gas.

23. A method as claimed in Claim 22, in which the reducing agent is hydrogen and/or carbon monoxide, optionally mixed with an inert gas.

24. A method as claimed in any of Claims 21 to 23, in which the reduction is carried out at an activation temperature of 250 to 500°C.

25. A method as claimed in Claim 24, in which the activation temperature is in the range 300 to 450°C.

26. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, in which, prior to impregnation, the alumina support has an ASTM attrition value of less than 30% by weight of fines produced by 5 hours testing.

27. A process as claimed in Claim 26 in which the ASTM value is less than 20%.

28. A catalyst material produced by a method as claimed in any preceding Claim.

29. A catalyst as claimed in Claim 28, in which the catalytically active metal

and optionally the 2-valent metal are cobalt, and the cobalt content of the catalyst is from 10 to 40% by weight.

5 30. A catalyst as claimed in Claim 29, in which the cobalt content is from 15 to 25% by weight.

31. A catalyst as claimed in any of Claims 28 to 30, incorporating less than 3% by weight of a promoter.

10 32. A catalyst as claimed in Claim 31, in which the promoter is rhenium or platinum.

33. A catalyst as claimed in any of Claims 28 to 32, in which the initial support material predominantly comprises  $\gamma$ -alumina .

15 34. A catalyst as claimed in Claim 32, in which the  $\gamma$ -alumina is stabilised with a stabilising agent, optionally lanthanum.

20 35. A catalyst as claimed in any of Claims 28 to 34, in which the alumina support material includes a binder.

36. A catalyst as claimed in Claim 35, in which the binder represents less than 25% by weight of the catalyst.

25 37. A catalyst as claimed in Claim 35 or Claim 36, in which the binder is an alumina-containing binder material.

38. A catalyst as claimed in any of Claims 28 to 37, in which the specific surface area of the prepared catalyst, comprising the cobalt on the modified

support, is up to  $150 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ .

39. A catalyst as claimed in any of Claims 27 to 38, in which the pore volume of the prepared catalyst is from  $0.05$  to  $0.7 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ .

5

40. A catalyst as claimed in any of Claims 27 to 39, in which the pore diameter of the prepared catalyst is at least  $10 \text{ nm}$ , preferably at least  $18 \text{ nm}$ .

10

41. The use of a catalyst as claimed in any of Claims 28 to 40 in a Fischer-Tropsch synthesis reaction.

42. A use as claimed in Claim 41, in which the reaction is carried out in a slurry bubble column reactor.

15

43. A use as claimed in Claim 42, in which  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{CO}$  are supplied to a slurry in the reactor, the slurry comprising the catalyst in suspension in a liquid including the reaction products of the  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{CO}$ , the catalyst being maintained in suspension in the slurry at least partly by the motion of the gas supplied to the slurry.

20

44. A process for the production of hydrocarbons which comprise subjecting  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{CO}$  gases to a Fischer-Tropsch synthesis reaction in a reactor in the presence of a catalyst as claimed in any of Claims 28 to 40.

25

45. A process as claimed in Claim 44, in which the reaction is a three-phase reaction in which the reactants are gaseous, the product is at least partially liquid and the catalyst is solid.

46. A process as claimed in Claim 45, in which the reaction is carried out in

a slurry bubble column reactor.

47. A process as claimed in Claim 46, in which the  $H_2$  and CO are supplied to a slurry in the reactor, the slurry comprising the catalyst in suspension in a liquid including the reaction products of the  $H_2$  and CO, the catalyst being  
5 maintained in suspension in the slurry at least partly by the motion of the gas supplied to the slurry.

48. A process as claimed in any of Claims 44 to 47, in which the reaction  
10 temperature is in the range 190-250°C.

49. A process as claimed in Claim 48, in which the reaction temperature is in the range 200-230°C.

50. A process as claimed in any of Claims 44 to 49, in which the reaction  
15 pressure is in the range 10-60 bar.

51. A process as claimed in Claim 50, in which the reaction pressure is in the range 15 to 30 bar.  
20

52. A process as claimed in any of Claims 44 to 51, in which the  $H_2/CO$  ratio of the gases supplied to the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis reactor is in the range 1.1 to 2.2.

53. A process as claimed in Claim 52, in which the  $H_2/CO$  ratio is in the  
25 range 1.5 to 1.95.

54. A process as claimed in any of Claims 44 to 53, in which the superficial gas velocity in the reactor is in the range 5 to 60 cm/s.

55. A process as claimed in Claim 54 in which the superficial gas velocity is in the range 20 to 40 cm/s.

5 56. A process as claimed in any of Claims 44 to 55, in which the product of the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis reaction is subsequently subjected to post-processing.

57. A process as claimed in Claim 56 in which the post-processing is  
10 selected from de-waxing, hydro-isomerisation, hydro-cracking and combinations of these.

58. A method for the production of an alumina support for a catalyst, which comprises impregnating an initial alumina support material with a source of a  
15 2-valent metal capable of forming a spinel compound with alumina, and calcining the impregnated alumina at a temperature of at least 550°C.

59. A method as claimed in Claim 58, in which the initial alumina support material at least predominantly comprises  $\gamma$ -alumina.  
20

60. A method as claimed in Claim 58 or Claim 59, in which the initial alumina support material has a specific surface area in the range 100 to 300  $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ .

25 61. A method as claimed in any of Claims 58 to 60, in which the initial alumina support material comprises agglomerated essentially spherical particles having a particle size range whereby at least 80% fall in the range 30 to 120  $\mu\text{m}$ .

62. A method as claimed in any of Claims 58 to 61, in which the initial alumina support material has a pore volume greater than  $0.2\text{cm}^3/\text{g}$ , preferably greater than  $0.4\text{cm}^3/\text{g}$ .
- 5 63. A method as claimed in any of Claims 58 to 62, in which the impregnated alumina support material is calcined at a temperature in the range 800 to  $1200^\circ\text{C}$ .
64. A method as claimed in any of Claims 58 to 63, in which the source of a  
10 2-valent metal comprises a source of cobalt, zinc or magnesium.
65. A method as claimed in any of Claims 58 to 64, which includes additionally impregnating the alumina support material with a promoter.
- 15 66. A method as claimed in Claim 65, in which in which the promoter comprises platinum or rhenium.
67. A method as claimed in Claim 66, in which the promoter is rhenium and the source of rhenium is selected from perrhenic acid ( $\text{HReO}_4$ ), ammonium  
20 perrhenate, rhenium halide(s) and rhenium carbonyl(s).
68. A method as claimed in Claim 67, in which the source of the 2-valent metal compound is cobalt nitrate and the rhenium compound is perrhenic acid.
- 25 69. A method as claimed in Claim 58 to 68, which includes additionally impregnating the alumina support material with a stabiliser.
70. A method as claimed in Claim 69, in which the stabiliser comprises lanthanum.

71. A method as claimed in any one of Claims 58 to 70, in which the first impregnation step comprises an incipient wetness treatment in which an aqueous solution of the 2-valent metal compound and optionally a rhenium compound is mixed with the dry support material until the pores are filled, and the impregnated support is then dried, prior to the second calcining step.

72. A method as claimed in any of Claims 58 to 71, in which the amount of aqueous solution used in the impregnation is 0.05-2 times larger than the measured pore volume of the catalyst support.

73. A method as claimed in any of Claims 71 to 72, in which drying is carried out at 80 to 120°C.

Abstract

5 A method of producing an alumina-supported catalyst for use in a Fischer-Tropsch synthesis reaction, which comprises: calcining an initial  $\gamma$ -alumina support material at a temperature of at least 550°C to produce a modified alumina support material; impregnating the modified alumina support material with a source cobalt; calcining the impregnated support material at a temperature of 700°C to 1200°C, and activating the catalyst.

10

- no figure -